



Copyright © The Author(s). 2023 This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Muneeb M. Certificate in Health Professions Education. PJMHE. 2023;3(1):01-02.

Corresponding Author Email:
Muhammad.muneeb@lumhs.edu.pk

Funding:
The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

Conflicts of Interests:
The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Received 22/02/2023
Accepted 12/03/2023
First Published 20/04/2023



Editorial

Certificate in Health Professions Education

Muhammad Muneeb

Dept. of Medical Education - Ibn e Sina University, Mirpurkhas-Pakistan

Abstract

Across the annals of history, attempts to monopolize education have left indelible marks. From the University of Bologna's early ambitions driving innovation away from Italy to the Spanish Inquisition's academic stranglehold causing Spain's educational decline during the Enlightenment to the Qing Dynasty's restrictive academic policies delaying China's modernization—there's a resounding lesson: constricting educational diversity can hinder intellectual progress.

Recently, within Pakistan's academic corridors, whispers circulated of a decision by the Pakistan Medical & Dental Council (PMDC) concerning the Certificate in Health Professions Education (CHPE). Although there's no official documentation to validate these claims, the widely shared verbal accounts suggest a potential inclination towards limiting certification to only medical universities. Such an unofficial stance, if validated, would naturally raise questions about fairness, quality, and inclusivity, appearing more a product of internal dynamics than genuine educational vision..

Keywords

N/A

Introduction

Across the annals of history, attempts to monopolize education have left indelible marks. From the University of Bologna's early ambitions driving innovation away from Italy to the Spanish Inquisition's academic stranglehold causing Spain's educational decline during the Enlightenment to the Qing Dynasty's restrictive academic policies delaying China's modernization—there's a resounding lesson: constricting educational diversity can hinder intellectual progress.

Recently, within Pakistan's academic corridors, whispers circulated of a decision by the Pakistan Medical & Dental Council (PMDC) concerning the Certificate in Health Professions Education (CHPE). Although there's no official documentation to validate these claims, the widely shared verbal accounts suggest a potential inclination towards limiting certification to only medical universities. Such an unofficial stance, if validated, would naturally raise questions about fairness, quality, and inclusivity, appearing more a product of internal dynamics than genuine educational vision.

Examining the educational framework, it's recognized that several colleges have maintained excellent standards for their CHPE programs, many times outshining universities. Marginalizing these institutions, especially those with faculty who also teach at the universities, could be seen as counterintuitive.

Furthermore, accounts that some endorsed universities might not possess specialized medical education departments and faculties but rather lean on visiting faculty from colleges raise a poignant question: Can the quality of a program truly be defined by its institutional label if other foundational elements remain consistent?

Additionally, if these verbal accounts prove accurate, there's a looming logistical challenge. The limited number of endorsed universities against a backdrop of rising demand could lead to longer waiting periods, risking professional stagnation in a field renowned for its rigor.

Beneath these discussions lie the potentially affected: countless healthcare educators. Their dedication and effort, invested in achieving certifications, might find themselves navigating uncertain waters. This uncertainty potentially disrupts not just their career visions but also their trust in guiding institutions. Such a situation, if true, may suggest that bureaucracy is prioritized over quality and politics over pedagogical value.

Until the PMDC releases an official statement, speculations should be approached with caution. That said, the broader message remains clear: quality assurance if required, should emerge from balanced evaluations, transparency, and an embrace of the diverse and evolving landscape of medical education. In this endeavor, colleges and universities should ideally be collaborative forces united in their pursuit of elevating Pakistan's healthcare education standards.